## ORIGINAL

## BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

n Re Application of:		
	Thomas D. Petite	)  Examiner: Evans, Fannie I
Serial No:	08/825,576	) Art Unit: 2877
Date Filed:	March 31, 1997	Confirmation No.: 1135
For: Transmitter for Accessing Automated		) Docket No: 81607-1010

## REPLY TO EXAMINER'S ANSWER

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In general, Applicant respectfully disagrees with the position taken by the Examiner in the Examiner's Answer. In this regard, Applicant relies upon the arguments advanced in the Appeal Brief filed October 31, 2003. However, Applicant offers the following additional comments in reply to the Examiner's Answer.

In general, the Examiner's Answer repeats the rejections as set forth in the Final Office

Action in the above-referenced patent application. Accordingly, Applicant reasserts the

arguments that were set out in the Appeal Brief. Applicant does wish, however, to provide a few
additional points.

In supporting the rejection of claims 26-28, 30 and 31, the Examiner's Answer alleges "[t]he specification lacks a standard for determining/measuring the degree of power intended (low) in the claims. Low is a relative term and the use of the terminology 'low-power transmitter' in claims 29 and 30 does not distinguish the claimed transmitter over the wireless